

Chief, EE

Chief of Base, Berlin

CADORY/Operational

DTLINEN - Project Redocumentation

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE/METHOD/EXEMPTION 2020
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

MAY 22 1956

References: A. EGQW-27245, dated 6 Jan. 56
B. EGQW-21572, dated 7 Jan. 55
C. EGQW-15404, dated 9 Apr. 54
D. EGBA-20562, dated 15 March 55
E. EGQA-62906, dated 27 May 55
F. EGQW-25148, dated 19 July 55
G. EGQA-67311, dated 31 Aug. 55

*Req. for redoc
transm. of previous project outline*

1. In compliance with Paragraph 3/Reference A, the DTLINEN Project Outline and Administrative Plan (References B and C, respectively) were reviewed for possible modifications and/or revisions. We suggest the following adjustments for your consideration:

A. Project Outline

(1) Paragraph 3 d - the various project activities cited therein should be detailed to conform to the current situation. With respect thereto, recent monthly progress reports contain the most pertinent information/data.

(2) Paragraph 5 a - it is recommended that an additional sub-paragraph be added to reflect the "Aufklarungs" activities conducted under the sponsorship of the West German office, in conjunction with other West German organizations/groups. We suggest that the German language monthly activities report of that office, which we forward each month to the Home Office, be used as a reference as to the appropriate wording of this sub-paragraph.

(3) Paragraph 5 c - the organizational breakdown cited no longer applies in toto. Sections I, II, III, V, and VI are unchanged; Section IV is now the West German office, formerly located in Goettingen, now in Hannover. (Note: DTLINEN has named this office "Aussenstelle West" - the equivalent to Field Office West). New designations, all called Referate, are the following:

APPROVED _____

18 May 1956/pkb

Distribution
3-EE, 3-COS

Document No. _____

No Change In Class. ☒☐ DeclassifiedClass. Changed to: SECRETNext Review Date: 091010Auth: EE 10-0Date: 09-00-1980

[Signature]

DTLINEN

COPY

32-124-1

VIII - Mfg Affairs; IX - Educational Affairs; XI - Vopo/National Army Affairs; XII - Academic/Church Affairs; XIII - Political Functionaries Affairs; XV - Political Prisoners Affairs.

(4) Paragraph 11 b - to be reworded to reflect the status of written contracts between KUBARK/NEWHAM and KUBARK/ [], per EGDA-26824, dated 27 October 1955.

(5) Paragraph 11 c - to be reworded to reflect the somewhat de-emphasized status of administrative harassment operations within the framework of the various operational activities carried out by the covert section.

(6) Paragraph 11 j - it is recommended that a sub-paragraph be added to indicate the situation pertaining to the Enquete review/assessment of DTLINEN. We believe that this development should be noted in the new project outline, even though the work of the Enquete is not yet completed. This sub-paragraph would at the same time serve to answer the consideration raised in Paragraph 11 f.

B. Administrative Plan: No adjustments except those initially suggested in Reference D appear to be necessary. As you will recall, the Paragraph 2 B/Reference D proposal led to a spate of correspondence (References E, F, G), none of which resolved the issue in question. It seems to us that the latter three References propose too many refined legal aspects, which, from an operational point-of-view, would prove either impractical or cumbersome to apply to our Reference D proposal. We incline to the opinion that KUBARK's equity in DTLINEN's real property can probably not be secured in an iron-clad legal manner without rather complex or intricate procedures. Since our contact to DTLINEN is through Newham and [], it would be desirable if the arrangement agreed upon could be implemented through them, without involving too many other persons. Perhaps we need no more than a brief written declaration, signed by the DTLINEN Vorstand (sic NEWHAM and []), stating in effect that the items represented on the inventory were purchased over a period of years with funds made available by PEPRIKE friends, that DTLINEN has possession of the items but not legal title, and that in the event of DTLINEN's dissolution, the PEPRIKE friends were empowered to exercise their rights to legal title. This terminology is in any event more appropriate than our initial suggestion in Paragraph 2 B/Reference D, since the latter makes a specific reference to ODYCKE sponsorship. It occurred to us that KUBARK would undoubtedly prefer to forego an admission of this attributability.

2. It is realized that Paragraph B above in effect raised a matter of "unfinished business" which may not necessarily need to be resolved. This thought is also expressed in Paragraph 3/Reference G. In view of this, it may be that the most practical solution is to simply forego our Reference D suggestions, or restrict our consideration to Paragraph 2 C only. Either choice is agreeable with us.

SECRET

C

J

32-124-1-111